

S/N 10/051,068

Page 2 of 2

April 1, 2003

The Examiner has further stated that an election of species is also required under 35 U.S.C. 121. The Examiner has specified the species as follows:

- a) Species as shown in FIG. 17;
- b) Species as shown in FIG. 18a;
- c) Species as shown in FIG. 19a;
- d) Species as shown in FIG. 20b;
- e) Species as shown in FIG. 21;
- f) Species as shown in FIG. 22a; and
- g) Species as shown in FIG. 23a.

The Applicant hereby elects the species of Figure 22a. The Applicant understands that Figures 1-15, which describe the principles of the present invention, will be considered together with the elected species. Claims that are either generic or read on the elected species include claims 1, 2, 7 and 20-23.

The Applicant believes that the claims are now in order for substantive examination.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark M. Friedman
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 33,883

Date: April 1, 2003

FAX RECEIVED

MAR 31 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Certificate Of Fax Filing: I hereby certify that this response (2 pages) is being forwarded by me to the USPTO fax no. (703) 308-7724 on April 1, 2003.

Signed: Daniel Michaels (Dr. Mark Friedman Ltd.):

